The systematic generation of monodentate bridging ethanoates in dinuclear nickel(II) complexes of asymmetric compartmental ligands †

Harry Adams, Scott Clunas, David E. Fenton * and Sharon E. Spey

Department of Chemistry, The University of Sheffield, Dainton Building, Brook Hill, Sheffield, UK S3 7HF. E-mail: d.fenton@sheffield.ac.uk

Received 3rd September 2001, Accepted 21st November 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 11th January 2002

The presence of five-membered chelating rings derived from the iminic and aminic pendant arms in dinuclear nickel(II) complexes of asymmetric compartmental ligands gives rise to *syn–syn* bidentate ethanoate bridging in these complexes whereas the introduction of a six-membered chelating ring at the iminic binding site induces an ethanoate shift generating a monodentate bridging ethanoate group.

Introduction

The versatility of simple carboxylate anions in binding to metal ions has been carefully reviewed.**¹** The anion can adopt a wide range of bonding modes–*syn* and *anti* monodentate, symmetric and asymmetric chelating, *syn*–*syn*, *syn*–*anti* and *anti*–*anti* bidentate bridging and monodentate bridging. This latter mode is unusual in that two metals bind to a single carboxylate oxygen atom through both of its available lone pairs. The second oxygen atom (the "dangling" oxygen, O_d) can then interact either with one of the bridged metal ions or with a third metal ion.**²** Significant structural variations have been detected within the monodentate bridging class of carboxylates due to the flexibility of the metal to "dangling" oxygen atom interaction (Fig. 1); these range from monodentate bridging with no

interaction between O_d and a metal atom through to strong interactions between O_d and the interacting metal atom M_{int} ²

Homodinuclear nickel (n) complexes bearing a monodentate bridging ethanoate have been reported in which the remaining two ethanoates are bidentate bridging,³—[Ni₂(OAc)₃(urea)-(tmen)**2**](OTf) [tmen = *N*,*N*,*NN*-tetramethyl-1,2-diaminoethane], and $[Ni_2(OAc)_2(BPAN)(CH_3OH)](ClO_4)$, $[BPAN =$ 2,7-bis[2-(2-pyridylethylamino)methyl]-1,8-naphthyridine], in which there is a single bidentate bridging ethanoate.**⁴** Herein we report the systematic generation of monodentate bridging ethanoates in dinuclear nickel(II) complexes derived from asymmetric compartmental proligands. The proligands provide an iminic and an aminic arm for coordination with a metal and so are donor asymmetric.**⁵** Furthermore by changing the spacer present in the side arm either five-membered or six-membered chelate rings can be generated at the metal centres. Crystal structures of representative complexes are presented.

Experimental

Elemental analyses were carried out by the University of Sheffield microanalytical service. Infrared spectra were recorded as KBr discs or as liquid films between NaCl plates, using a Perkin-Elmer 297 (4000–600 cm⁻¹) or a Perkin-Elmer 1600 (4000–400 cm-1) infrared spectrophotometer. **¹** H NMR and **¹³**C NMR spectra were recorded using either a Bruker ACF-250, a Bruker AM-250 or a Bruker WH-400 spectrometer. Positive ion fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectra were recorded using a Kratos MS80 or a VG PROSPEC spectrometer (the matrix used was 4-nitrobenzyl alcohol).

Ligand synthesis

The ligand precursors 3-{[(2-dimethylaminoethyl)ethylamino] methyl}-2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde (A), 3-{[(2-diethylaminoethyl)methylamino]methyl}-2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde (B) and 2-hydroxy-5-methyl-3-{[methyl-(2-pyridin-2 ylethyl)amino]methyl}benzaldehyde (C) have been described previously.**6–8**

3-{[(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)methylamino]methyl}-2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde (D). *N*,*N*,*N*,-Trimethyl-1,3-propanediamine (2.80 g, 27.3 mmol) was added drop-wise to a solution of 3-chloromethyl-5-methylsalicylaldehyde **⁹** (5.00 g, 27.3 mmol) and Et_3N (2.76 g, 27.3 mmol) in THF (100 cm³) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 12 h the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography of the residue gave the desired product as a yellow oil, pure by tlc [*Rf* (EtOAc–Et**3**N 9 : 1) 0.9]. Yield: 5.13 g (71%). δ**H**(250 MHz, CDCl**3**, 298 K): 10.33(1H, s, CHO), 7.41(1H, s, ArH), 7.03(1H, s, ArH), 3.69(2H, s, ArCH**2**N), 2.51(2H, t, NCH**2**), 2.27(2H, t, NCH**2**), 2.25(3H, s, ArCH**3**), 2.22(3H, s, NCH₃), 2.18[6H, s, N(CH₃)₂], 1.73(2H, quin, CH₂). $\delta_c(62.5)$ MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 191(CHO), 160(aromatic, 4 °C), 136(aromatic, CH), 128(aromatic, CH), 124(aromatic, 4 °C), 122(aromatic, 4 °C), 60(NCH₂), 57(NCH₂), 55(NCH₂), 45[N(CH**3**)**2**], 41(NCH**3**), 25(CH**2**), 20(ArCH**3**). Selected IR data (v/cm⁻¹) in CH₂Cl₂. 2956, 2860, 2772, 1678, 1609, 1471, 1398, 1284, 1225, 1150, 1040, 986, 781, 755. (MS (m/z). 264(M⁺, 16%), $192(M^+ - C_4H_{10}N, 36)$, $149(M^+ -$ **COLORED SETTLE SETTLE CONTROLL CONTROLL CONTROLLED SETTLE (SPECIFIEST) AND SURFAMETER (D) Spectra were not NaCl plates, a Perkin-Elmerter. ¹H NMR either a Bruker (1) mass spectra VG PROSPEC** *I* **alcohol).

by l)ethylamin**

DOI: 10.1039/b107928j *J. Chem. Soc*., *Dalton Trans*., 2002, 441–448 **441**

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: X-ray crystallographic data and figures for complexes **2** and **3**, [5,5]; **4**, [6,5]; **6**, **7a**, **8** and **9**, [5,6]; **12** and **13** [6,6]. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b1/ b107928j/

115(M - C**7**H**16**N**2**O, 9), 58(C**3**H**8**N, 100). Anal. Found: C, 67.43; H, 9.27; N, 10.33: Calcd for C**15**H**24**N**2**O**2**: C, 68.18; H, 9.09; N, 10.61%.

Representative ligand syntheses

2-[(2-Dimethylaminoethylimino)methyl]-6-[(3-dimethylaminopropyl)methylamino]-4-methylphenol HL5 . *N*,*N*,-Dimethyl-1,2 ethanediamine (33 mg, 0.379 mmol) was added to a solution of precursor D (100 mg, 0.379 mmol) in methanol (10 cm**³**). The resulting solution was then refluxed for 10 min, after which the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the desired product as a yellow oil. Yield: 111 mg (92%). $\delta_H(250)$ MHz, CDCl**3**, 298 K): 8.35(1H, s, CHN), 7.15(1H, s ArH), 6.95(1H, s, ArH), 3.67(2H, t, CHNC*H***2**), 3.49(2H, s, ArCH**2**N), 2.60(2H, t, NCH**2**), 2.42(2H, t, NCH**2**), 2.26(2H, t, NCH**2**), 2.24[6H, s, N(CH**3**)**2**], 2.23(3H, s, ArCH**3**), 2.22(3H, s, NCH**3**), 2.20[6H, s, N(CH₃)₂], 1.73(2H, quin, CH₂). δ_c (62.5 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 165(CHN), 157(aromatic, 4 °C), 134(aromatic, CH), 130(aromatic, CH), 127(aromatic, 4 °C), 126(aromatic, 4 C), 60(NCH**2**), 58(NCH**2**), 57(NCH**2**), 56(NCH**2**), 55(NCH**2**), 46[N(CH**3**)**2**], 45[N(CH**3**)**2**], 42(NCH**3**), 26(CH**2**), 20(ArCH**3**). Selected IR data (v/cm⁻¹) in CH₂Cl₂. 2948, 2773, 1635, 1466, 1250, 1041, 864, 806, 780, 752. MS (m/z). 334(M⁺, 6%), $219(M^+ - C_6H_{15}N_2, 24)$, $205(M^+ - C_7H_{15}N_2, 8)$, $72(C_4H_{10}N,$ 17), 58(C**3**H**8**N, 100). Anal. Found: C, 67.09; H, 10.62; N, 15.91. Calcd for [C**19**H**34**N**4**O]1/3MeOH: C, 67.31; H, 10.25; N, 16.24%.

2-{[(2-Dimethylaminoethyl)ethylamino]methyl}-6-[(3-di-

methylaminopropylimino)methyl]-4-methylphenol HL9 . *N*,*N*,- Dimethyl-1,3-propanediamine (39 mg, 0.379 mmol) and precursor A (100 mg, 0.379 mmol) were treated as described for the synthesis of H**L⁵** to give the desired product as a yellow oil. Yield: 109 mg (90%). δ _H(250 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 8.35(1H, s, CHN), 7.24(1H, s, ArH), 6.94(1H, s, ArH), 3.63(2H, s, ArCH**2**N), 3.61(2H, t, NCH**2**), 2.61(4H, m, 2CH**2**), 2.41(2H, m, CH**2**), 2.32(2H, t, CH**2**), 2.26(3H, s, ArCH**3**), 2.41[12H, s, $2N(CH_3)$, 1.83(2H, quin, CH₂), 1.07(3H, t, CH₃). $\delta_c(62.5)$ MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 164(CHN), 157(aromatic, 4 °C), 134(aromatic, CH), 129(aromatic, CH), 127(aromatic, 4 °C), 126(aromatic, 4 °C), 58(NCH₂), 57.5(NCH₂), 57(NCH₂), 52(NCH**2**), 51(NCH**2**), 48(NCH**2**), 46[N(CH**3**)**2**], 45.5[N(CH**3**)**2**], 29(CH₂), 20(ArCH₃), 11(CH₃). Selected IR data (v/cm^{-1}) in CH**2**Cl**2**. 2948, 2820, 2772, 1634, 1465, 1247, 1041, 886, 841, 810. MS (*m*/*z*). 348(M⁺, 54%), 290(M⁺ - C₃H₈N, 26), 233(M⁺ $- C_6H_1sN_2$, 100), 162(M⁺ $- C_{10}H_{25}N_3$, 30), 72(C₄H₁₀N, 28), 58(C**3**H**8**N, 78). Anal. Found: C, 68.21; H, 10.84; N, 15.63. Calcd for [C**20**H**36**N**4**O]1/4MeOH: C, 68.26; H, 10.39; N, 15.73%.

2-{[(2-Diethylaminoethyl)methylamino]methyl}-6-[(3-dimethylaminopropylimino)methyl]-4-methylphenol HL10. *N*,*N*,- Dimethyl-1,3-propanediamine (40 mg, 0.360 mmol) and precursor B (100 mg, 0.360 mmol) were treated as described for the synthesis of H**L⁵** to give the desired product as a yellow oil. Yield: 114 mg (95%). δ _H(250 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 8.33(1H, s, CHN), 7.16(1H, s, ArH), 6.98(1H, s, ArH), 3.62(2H, t, CHNC*H***2**), 3.55(2H, s, ArCH**2**N), 2.70–2.45(8H, m, 4NCH**2**), 2.32(2H, t, NCH**2**), 2.25(3H, s, ArCH**3**), 2.24(3H, s, NCH**3**), 2.22[6H, s, N(CH**3**)**2**], 1.84(2H, quin, CH**2**), 1.01(6H, t, 2CH**3**). δ_C(62.5 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 164(CHN), 157(aromatic, 4 °C), 134(aromatic, CH), 130(aromatic, CH), 127(aromatic, 4 °C), 126(aromatic, 4 °C), 57.5(NCH₂), 57(NCH₂), 56(NCH₂), 55.5(NCH**2**), 51(NCH**2**), 47(NCH**2**), 45[N(CH**3**)**2**], 43(NCH**3**), 29(CH₂), 20(ArCH₃) 12(2CH₃). Selected IR data (v/cm⁻¹) in CH**2**Cl**2**. 2972, 2819, 1634, 1467, 1284, 1040, 894, 848, 776. MS (m/z) . 362(M⁺, 39%), 233(M⁺ - C₉H₁₇N₂, 100), $161(M⁺ - C₁₃H₂₇N₃, 22), 72(C₄H₁₀N, 18), 58(C₃H₈N, 37).$ Anal. Found: C, 67.86; H, 10.73; N, 14.58. Calcd for $[C_{21}H_{38}N_4O]$ 3/4MeOH: C, 67.62; H, 10.62; N, 14.51%.

2-[(3-Dimethylaminopropylimino)methyl]-4-methyl-6-

{[methyl(2-pyridin-2-ylethyl)amino]methyl}phenol HL13. *N*,*N*,- Dimethyl-1,3-propanediamine (36 mg, 0.351 mmol) and precursor C (100 mg, 0.351 mmol) were treated as described for the synthesis of H**L⁵** to give the desired product as a yellow oil. Yield: 122 mg (94%). δ_H(250 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 8.52(1H, d, pyrH), 8.30(1H, s, CHN), 7.57(1H, m, pyrH), 7.41(1H, s, ArH), 7.14(1H, m, pyrH), 7.05(1H, m, pyrH), 6.93(1H, s, ArH), 3.64(2H, s, ArCH**2**N), 3.62(2H, t, CHNC*H***2**), 3.07(2H, m, CH**2**), 2.87(2H, m, CH**2**), 2.32(2H, t, CH**2**), 2.26(3H, s, ArCH**3**), 2.41[9H, s, NCH₃ + N(CH₃)₂], 1.82(2H, quin, CH₂). $\delta_c(62.5)$ MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 165(CHN), 157(aromatic, 4 °C), 149(pyr, CH), 136(pyr, CH), 134(aromatic, CH), 130(aromatic, CH), 127(aromatic, 4 °C), 126(aromatic, 4 °C), 123(pyr, CH), 121(pyr, CH), 118(pyr, 4 °C), 58(NCH₂), 57.5(NCH₂), 57(NCH**2**), 55(NCH**2**), 46[N(CH**3**)**2**], 42(NCH**3**), 36(CH**2**), 29(CH₂), 20(ArCH₃). Selected IR data (v/cm^{-1}) in CH₂Cl₂. 2949, 2820, 1634, 1468, 1262, 1042, 902, 866, 755. MS (*m*/*z*). $369(M^+$, $29\%)$, $233(M^+ - C_8H_{11}N_2, 50)$, $161(M^+ - C_{12}H_{21}N_3,$ 67), 72(C**4**H**10**N, 34), 58(C**3**H**8**N, 100). Anal. Found: C, 70.66; H, 9.13; N, 14.51. Calcd for [C**22**H**32**N**4**O]1/2MeOH: C, 70.31; H, 8.85; N, 14.58%.

Representative complexation reactions

[Ni2(L1)(OAc)(NCS)2(MeOH)] 1. Nickel ethanoate tetrahydrate (280 mg, 1.13 mmol) was added to a solution of H**L¹** (200mg, 0.563 mmol) in methanol (10 cm**³**). The mixture was then refluxed for 30 min. After cooling, the addition of sodium thiocyanate (91 mg, 1.13 mmol) resulted in the formation of green crystals. Yield: 232 mg (64%). Selected IR data (v/cm⁻¹) using KBr disk: 3466, 2972, 2890, 2081, 2014, 1630, 1579, 1422, 1315, 1053, 1026, 941, 749. MS (m/z). 568(MH⁺ - C₃H₇O₃, 95% , $530(M^+ - C_4H_7NO_3S, 10)$, $413(M^+ - C_5H_7N_2O_3S_2, 6)$. Anal. Found: C, 46.28; H, 4.96; N, 12.89. Calcd for [Ni**2**(**L¹**)(OAc)(NCS)**2**(1/2MeOH)]: C, 46.22; H, 5.13; N, 12.69%.

[Ni2(L6)(OAc)(NCS)2(MeOH)] 5. This was obtained as green crystals in an identical procedure to that used for **1** using H**L⁶** (124 mg, 0.351 mmol), nickel ethanoate tetrahydrate (175 mg, 0.702 mmol) and sodium thiocyanate (57 mg, 0.702 mmol). Yield: 102 mg (43%). Selected IR data (v/cm^{-1}) using KBr disk: 3435, 2907, 2840, 2071, 2020, 1656, 1581, 1463, 1422, 1311, 1002, 756. MS (m/z). 588(M⁺ - C₃H₇O₃, 98%), 528(M⁺ - C₄H₇NO₃S, 8). Anal. Found: C, 46.04; H, 5.30; N, 12.41. Calcd for [Ni**2**(**L⁶**)(OAc)(NCS)**2**(MeOH)]: C, 46.02; H, 5.31; N, 12.39%.

 $\left[\text{Ni}_2(\text{L}^8)(\text{OAc})_2(\text{NCS})\right]$ 7. This was obtained as green crystals in an identical procedure to that used for **1** using H**L⁸** (200 mg, 0.502 mmol), nickel ethanoate tetrahydrate (260 mg, 1.04 mmol) and sodium thiocyanate (85 mg, 1.04 mmol). Yield: 200 mg (59%). Selected IR data (v/cm⁻¹) using KBr disk: 3439, 2992, 2923, 2089, 1640, 1591, 1472, 1421, 1326, 1011, 760. Anal. Found: C, 50.10; H, 5.95; N, 9.93. Calcd for $[Ni_2(\mathbf{L}^8)(\text{OAc})_2$ -(NCS)]: C, 49.78; H, 5.78; N, 10.37%.

 $[Ni_2(L^{11})(OAc)_2(NCS)]$ 10. Histamine (40 mg, 0.360 mmol) and precursor B (100 mg, 0.360 mmol) were treated as described for the synthesis of H**L⁵** to give a mixture of the desired product H**L¹¹** and the isomeric fused ring by-product **10,11** 4-methyl-2- (methylpyridin-2-ylmethylamino)-6-(4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-3*H*imidazo[4,5-*c*]pyridin-4-yl)phenol. Without further purification a solution of nickel ethanoate tetrahydrate (179 mg, 0.720 mmol) in methanol (10 cm³) was added and the mixture refluxed for 30 min. After cooling, the addition of sodium thiocyanate (58 mg, 0.720 mmol) resulted in the formation of green crystals. Yield: 109 mg (46%). Selected IR data (v/cm^{-1}) using KBr disk: 3352, 3208, 2983, 2894, 2110, 1638, 1580, 1471, 1431,

Table 1 Summary of crystallographic data for $[Ni_2L^1(AcO)(NCS)_2(MeOH)]$ 1 $[Ni_2L^6(AcO)(NCS)_2(MeOH)]$ 5 $[Ni_2L^8(AcO)_2(NCS)]$ ¹H₂O 7·H₂O $[Ni_2L^{11}(AcO)_2(NCS)]$ ^{\cdot}H₂O **10** \cdot H₂O and $[Ni_2(L^{12})(AcO)_2(NCS)]$ **11**

		5	7·H ₂ O	10·H ₂ O	11
Empirical formula	$C_{26}H_{35}N_6Ni_2O_4S_2$	$C_{26}H_{35}N_6Ni_2O_4S_2$	$C_{28}H_{41}N_5Ni_2O_6S$	$C_{26}H_{40}N_6Ni_2O_6S$	$C_{29}H_{33}N_5Ni_2O_5S$
Formula weight	677.14	677.14	693.14	682.12	681.08
Space group	$P\bar{1}$ (C _i , No. 2)	$P2_1/n$ ($P2_1/c$ C_{2h}^5 No. 14)	$P2_1/n$ ($P2_1/c$ C_{2h}^5 No. 14)	$P\bar{1}$ (C _i , No. 2)	$P\bar{1}$ (C _i , No. 2)
a/A	10.715(7)	10.0082(9)	12.6287(12)	9.7658(6)	8.9671(9)
b/Å	11.517(7)	17.3828(16)	14.3404(13)	11.7532(8)	12.3749(13)
c/\AA	12.583(8)	17.2816(16)	18.0340(16)	13.8662(10)	14.4668(15)
a /°	84.718(12)	90	90	84.8920(10)	97.539(20)
βl°	71.358(12)	97.940(2)	107.076(2)	85.6140(10)	98.507(2)
γl°	87.245(13)	90	90	70.0750(10)	100.836(2)
V/A^3	1464.8(15)	2977.7(5)	3122.0(5)	1488.53(17)	1488.53(17)
$\rho_{\textrm{calc}}\!/\mathrm{Mg\ m^{-3}}$	1.535	1.510	1.475	1.522	1.470
Z		4	4		\mathfrak{D}
μ /mm ⁻¹	1.385	1.447	1.321	1.385	1.337
λľÅ	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
T/K	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)
\mathbb{R}	0.0607	0.0574	0.0592	0.0427	0.0578
wR2	0.1405	0.1640	0.1632	0.1632	0.1868

1343, 1076, 1021, 825. MS (m/z). $605(M^+ - C_2H_3O_2, 70\%)$, 546(M⁺ – C₄H₆O₄, 14). Anal. Found: C, 45.33; H, 5.81; N, 12.04. Calcd for $[Ni_2(\mathbf{L}^{11})(\text{OAc})_2(\text{NCS})]$ ·MeOH: C, 46.55; H, 6.03; N, 12.07%.

 $[Ni₂(L¹²)(OAc)₂(NCS)]$ 11. This was obtained as green crystals in an identical procedure to that used for **1** using H**L¹²** (200 mg, 0.513 mmol), nickel ethanoate tetrahydrate (255 mg, 1.03 mmol) and sodium thiocyanate (83 mg, 1.03 mmol). Yield: 225 mg (64%). Selected IR data (v/cm^{-1}) using KBr disk: 3411, 2912, 2858, 2094, 1645, 1583, 1489, 1471, 1416, 1230, 1000, 813, 785, 762. MS (m/z). 623(M⁺ - C₃H₇O₃, 81%), $562(M^+ - C_4H_3NO_2S, 9)$, $310(M^+ - C_{10}H_{11}N_3O_3S_2Ni_2,$ 37). Anal. Found: C, 50.48; H, 4.93; N, 9.95. Calcd for [Ni**2**(**L¹²**)(OAc)**2**(NCS)]1/2MeOH: C, 50.79; H, 5.02; N, 10.04%.

X-Ray crystallography

The details of the X-ray crystal data, and of the structure solution and refinement for complexes 1, 5, 7 \cdot H₂O, 10 \cdot H₂O and **11** are given in Table 1. Data collected, with graphite monochromated Mo-Kα X-radiation, were measured on a Siemens SMART CCD area diffractometer with an Oxford Cryosystems low temperature system. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation effects and for absorption by semi-empirical methods based on symmetry-equivalent and repeated reflections. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full matrix least squares methods on F^2 . Hydrogen atoms were placed geometrically and refined with a riding model and with U_{iso} constrained to be 1.2 (1.5 for methyl groups) times U_{eq} of the carrier atom. The terminal methyl C atom, C(25) in **5** was only refined isotropically. In the structure of $7·H₂O$ the water molecule was found to be disordered and O(1W) was refined to an occupancy of 44 : 56%. The programs used in the determination and refinement of the structures were Siemens SMART and SAINT for control and integration software **¹²** and SHELXTL as implemented on the Viglen Pentium computer.**¹³**

CCDC reference numbers 152075 and 169968–169971.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b1/b107928j/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

Results and discussion

A range of donor asymmetric compartmental proligands bearing one iminic and one aminic arm have been prepared and used to generate dinuclear nickel (ii) complexes. The nature of the terminal nitrogen donor atom in the pendant arms has been varied to give combinations derived from pyridine, imidazole and tertiary amino (NR**2**) groups. Changing the spacer present in the side arm allows either five-membered or six-membered chelate rings to be generated at the metal centres and so a series of complexes having adjacent [5,5], [5,6], [6,5] and $[6,6]$ ¹⁴ binding compartments was constructed providing opportunity to investigate the effect of changing the nature of the compartment on the bonding capacity of the ligand. The ligands used are depicted in Figs. 2, 5 and 8.

Fig. 2 Ligands used for the generation of bidentate bridging ethanoates.

 $HL⁶$

The reaction of the proligand H**L¹** , prepared by modification of a reported method,**⁶** with Ni(OAc)**2**4H**2**O and NaNCS in MeOH gave the dinuclear complex $[Ni_2(\mathbf{L}^1)(\text{AcO})(\text{NCS})_2$ -(MeOH)] **1**. **7** The crystal structure of **1** was solved and an ORTEP view of this complex is shown in Fig. 3 together with the numbering scheme. Selected bond lengths and angles relevant to the coordination geometries are given in the caption to the figure. The closely octahedral nickel(π) atoms are bridged

Fig. 3 An ORTEP drawing of the molecular structure of **1** showing the atom labeling; thermal ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths and angles at the metal atoms: Ni(1) –N(1), 1.981(4); Ni(1) –O(1), 2.001(3); Ni(1) – O(2), 2.033(4); Ni(1) –N(2), 2.061(4); Ni(1) –N(6), 2.115(4); Ni(1) –O(4), 2.116(4); Ni(2) –O(3), 2.019(4); Ni(2) –O(1), 2.031(3); Ni(2) –N(5), 2.042(4); Ni(2) –N(4), 2.103(4); Ni(2) –N(3), 2.171(4); Ni(2) –N(6), 2.233(4); Ni(1) –Ni(2), 3.029 Å. Ni(1) –O(1) –Ni(2), 97.39(15); Ni(1) – N(6) –Ni(2), 88.26(17); O(4) –Ni(1) –O(2), 175.03(14); O(1) –Ni(1) –N(2), 172.35(15); N(1) –Ni(1) –N(6), 177.74(18); O(1) –Ni(1) –N(6), 87.05(15); N(1) –Ni(1) –N(2), 81.43(17); N(2) –Ni(1) –N(6), 100.52(17); O(1) –Ni(1) – N(1), 91.02(16); O(3) –Ni(2) –N(5), 174.62(16); O(1) –Ni(2) –N(3), 176.32(15); N(4) –Ni(2) –N(6), 174.20(15); O(1) –Ni(2) –N(6), 83.22(15); N(3) –Ni(2) –N(6), 100.42(16); N(3) –Ni(2) –N(4), 83.98(16); O(1) –Ni(2) – $N(4)$, 91.40 $(15)^\circ$.

by the phenolic oxygen atom of $(L^1)^{-}$, an isothiocyanato nitrogen atom and a *syn* –*syn* bidentate ethanoate. Chelation from the pendant nitrogen atoms provides five-membered chelate rings, from the iminic and aminic arms, to Ni(1) and Ni(2) respectively and completes the equatorial plane at these atoms.

The six-coordination of each nickel (n) is completed by an axial oxygen atom from a methanol of solvation at Ni(1) and an axial nitrogen atom from an isothiocyanate anion at Ni(2); these ligands are sited *cis* to each other with respect to the mean molecular plane. The isothiocyanate anion, coordinated to the nickel in the aminic compartment, is on the opposite face of the molecule to the ethyl group on the articular nitrogen atom N(4). The phenolic bridge is non-symmetric with Ni_{imine}-O, 2.00 Å and Ni_{amine}-O, 2.03 Å; the Ni-N_{imine} bond is shorter, 1.98 Å, than the Ni – N**pyridine** bond, 2.06 Å—crystallographic features of the bridges in the complexes are given in Table 2. The nickel – nickel separation is 3.029 Å .

The generality of this reaction, in which both chelate rings are five-membered giving a [5,5] coordination set, is shown by

the availability of an analogous dinickel (II) complex derived from HL^2 , $[Ni_2(L^2)(Aco)(NCS)_2(MeOH)]$,⁷ and from the crystal structures of [Ni**2**(**L³**)(AcO)(NCS)**2**(MeOH)] **2**, and [Ni**2**(**L⁴**)(AcO)(NCS)**2**(MeOH)] **3**, X-ray crystallographic data and figures for which have been deposited electronically. If a six-membered chelate ring is introduced by the aminic arm as in proligands H**L⁵** and H**L⁶** a [6,5] coordination set is provided and analogous dinuclear complexes, $[Ni_2(\mathbf{L}^n)(\text{AcO})(\text{NCS})_2$ -(MeOH)], $[n = 5, 4,$ and $n = 6, 5$] are obtained the structures of which confirmed the presence of a bridging thiocyanate and a bidentate bridging ethanoate as in complexes **1**–**3**. The structure of **5** is presented as a representative example of [6,5] coordination with X-ray crystallographic data and figures for complex **4** being deposited electronically.

An ORTEP view of complex **5** is shown in Fig. 4 together

Fig. 4 An ORTEP drawing of the molecular structure of **5** showing the atom labeling; thermal ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths and angles at the metal atoms: Ni(1)–N(1), 1.995(3); Ni(1)–O(1), 2.018(2); Ni(1)– O(2), 2.056(2); Ni(1)–N(2), 2.164(3); Ni(1)–N(6), 2.057(3); Ni(1)–O(4), 2.243(3); Ni(2)–O(3), 1.983(3); Ni(2)–O(1), 2.064(2); Ni(2)–N(5), 2.039(3); Ni(2)–N(4), 2.090(3); Ni(2)–N(3), 2.091(3); Ni(2)–N(6), 2.415(3); Ni(1)–Ni(2), 3.102 Å. Ni(1)–O(1)–Ni(2), 98.93(9); Ni(1)– N(6)–Ni(2), 87.48(11); O(4)–Ni(1)–O(2), 174.76(11); O(1)–Ni(1)–N(2), 174.61(11); N(1)–Ni(1)–N(6), 174.81(12); O(1)–Ni(1)–N(6), 88.82(12); N(1)–Ni(1)–N(2), 82.64(12); N(2)–Ni(1)–N(6), 96.52(13); O(1)–Ni(1)– N(1), 91.97(11); O(3)–Ni(2)–N(5), 169.97(12); O(1)–Ni(2)–N(3), 172.53(11); N(4)–Ni(2)–N(6), 170.64(11); O(1)–Ni(2)–N(6), 78.65(10); N(3)–Ni(2)–N(6), 96.20(11); N(3)–Ni(2)–N(4), 92.86(12); O(1)–Ni(2)– $N(4)$, 92.54 $(10)^\circ$.

with the numbering scheme. Selected bond lengths and angles relevant to the coordination geometries are given in the caption to the figure. As in 1 the closely octahedral nickel (II) atoms are bridged by the phenolic oxygen atom of the ligand, an isothiocyanato nitrogen atom and a *syn*–*syn* bidentate ethanoate. Chelation from the pendant nitrogen atoms provides a fivemembered chelate ring from the iminic arm and a sixmembered chelate ring from the aminic arm, to $Ni(1)$ and $Ni(2)$ respectively, and completes the equatorial plane at these atoms. The six-coordination of each nickel (n) is completed as in complex **1**. The phenolic bridge is non-symmetric with Ni**imine**–O, 2.02 Å and Ni**amine**–O, 2.06 Å; the Ni–N**imine** bond is shorter, 1.995(3) Å, than the Ni-NMe₂ bond, 2.164(3) Å. The nickelnickel separation is 3.102 Å.

It would appear that this structural type, having a *syn*–*syn* bidentate bridge and a monodentate thiocyanate bridge, as illustrated in the formula diagram for complex **1**, is promoted by the presence of a five-membered chelating ring at the iminic pendant arm.

In contrast to the above the reaction, proligands H**L⁷** and H**L⁸** (Fig. 5), which provide [5,6] coordination sets, with

 $HL¹¹$ **Fig. 5** Ligands used for the generation of monodentate bridging ethanoates having [5,6] coordination sets, aminic (five-membered) and iminic (six-membered).

Ni(OAc)**2**4H**2**O and NaNCS, *under the same reaction conditions as those used for the preparation of* **1**, gave the dinuclear complexes $[Ni_2(Lⁿ)(AcO)₂(NCS)]$ (*n* = 7, **6**, *n* = 8, 7). The introduction of a six-membered chelate ring, on complexation by the iminic arm provided by the compartmental proligand, leads to a different product stoichiometry in the resulting dinuclear complexes.

The crystal structure of $7·H₂O$ was solved and revealed that whilst a basic dinuclear core derived from a bridging phenolate and a bidentate bridging ethanoate was retained, a monodentate bridging ethanoate had replaced the bridging isothiocyanate. An ORTEP view of **7** is shown in Fig. 6 together with the numbering schemes. Selected bond lengths and angles relevant to the coordination geometries and the monobridging ethanoates are given in the caption to the figure. In this complex the six-coordinate nickel (n) atoms are again bridged by the phenolic oxygen atom of the deprotonated ligand and a *syn*–*syn* bidentate ethanoate but further bridging is now provided by a monodentate bridging ethanoate anion. Chelation from the pendant nitrogen atoms provides six-membered chelate rings from the iminic arms to $Ni(1)$ and five-membered chelate rings from the aminic arms to Ni(2) and the six-coordination of each nickel(π) is completed at Ni(2) by the chelating oxygen atoms $O(4)$ and $O(5)$, and at Ni(1) by a monodentate bridging ethanoate oxygen atom O(4) and an axial nitrogen atom from an isothiocyanate anion–these ligands are *trans* to each other. If the oxygen atoms of the bidentate bridging ethanoate, O(2) and O(3) are regarded as occupying apical positions in the relevant octahedral environment at Ni(2) and Ni(1) then it is noted that the monodentate bridging ethanoate has $O(5)$ [O_d] *trans* to $O(2)$ [O(5)–Ni(2)–O(2), 165.9°] and O(4) [O_b] *cis* to O(5). The isothiocyanate anion has migrated to the nickel in the iminic compartment when compared to its position in complex **1** and the methanol of solvation at Ni(1) has been lost. The octahedral coordination at the nickel atoms is more distorted than that at the nickel atoms in **1** with the greater distortion occurring at the aminic site. The monobridging ethanoate bridge is non-symmetric—Ni(2)–O(4) (aminic bridge), 2.158(2) \AA and

Fig. 6 An ORTEP drawing of the molecular structure of $7 \cdot H$ ₂O showing the atom labeling; thermal ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths and angles at the metal atoms: Ni(1)–O(1), 2.000(2); Ni(1)–N(4), 2.014(3); Ni(1)–N(5), 2.068(3); Ni(1)–O(3), 2.081(3); Ni(1)–N(3), 2.074(3); Ni(1)–O(4), 2.210(2); Ni(2)–O(2), 1.995(3); Ni(2)–O(1), 2.034(2); Ni(1)–O(4), 2.210(2); Ni(2)–O(2), 1.995(3); Ni(2)–O(1), 2.034(2); Ni(2)–N(1), 2.060(3); Ni(2)–O(4), 2.158(2); Ni(2)–O(5), 2.134(3); $Ni(2)-N(1)$, 2.060(3); $Ni(2)-O(4)$, 2.158(2); $Ni(2)-N(2)$, 2.196(3); $Ni(1)-Ni(2)$, 3.094 Å. $Ni(1)-O(1)-Ni(2)$, 100.19(9); Ni(1)–O(4)–Ni(2), 90.21(9); O(3)–Ni(1)–N(5), 174.79(10); O(1)–Ni(1)–N(3), 173.42(10); N(4)–Ni(1)–O(4), 168.35(10); N(4)– Ni(1)–N(3), 94.99(10); N(3)–Ni(1)–O(4), 95.36(10); O(4)–Ni(1)–O(1), 78.75(8); O(1)–Ni(1)–N(4), 90.62(9); N(1)–Ni(2)–O(4), 157.09(12); O(5)–Ni(2)–O(2), 165.86(9); O(1)–Ni(2)–N(2), 176.83(9); O(1)–Ni(2)– O(4), 79.30(9); O(4)–Ni(2)–N(2), 101.91(9); N(2)–Ni(2)–N(1), 86.61(10); N(1)–Ni(2)–O(1), 91.19(10)°.

Ni(1)–O(4) (iminic bridge), 2.210(2) Å—as is the phenolic bridge symmetric—Ni(2)–O(1) (aminic bridge), 2.034(2) \AA and Ni(1)–O(1) (iminic bridge), 2.000(2) \AA —and the bonds between Ni–N**imine** (2.01 Å) and Ni–N**pyridine** (2.07 Å) are slightly longer than those found in **1**. The \overline{N} i \cdots \overline{N} separation is 3.094 Å, slightly longer than that found in **1**, 3.029 Å. The chelating angle, $O(5)$ – $C(24)$ – $O(4)$, in the monobridging ethanoate is normal, 118.9° whereas the bridging angle, O(3)–C(26)–O(2), of the *syn*–*syn* bridging ethanoate is more open, 125.5. In the monobridging ethanoate interaction with the nickel atoms the plane of the chelating ethanoate $(O(5)-Ni(2)-C(24)-O(4))$ lies at 67.8° to the plane of the Ni(1)–O(4)–Ni(2) bridge. There is a non-coordinated water molecule, which is disordered (44 : 56), present in the lattice. [A second structure of **7**H**2**O, **7a**, has been determined in which the -NEt₂ is disordered, the terminal carbon being refined at 50 : 50%, but the lattice solvent is not.] In the case of complex **6** derived from H**L⁷** the overall structural features are comparable with those of **7** and the X-ray crystallographic data and figures for complexes **6** and **7a** have been deposited electronically.

From the above it can be seen that a simple modification in ligand design, the introduction of a six-membered ring at the imino-compartment of the compartmental ligands has, as illustrated by the formula diagram for complexes **6** and **7**, promoted the generation of a monodentate, bridging ethanoate. Different coordination environments for the nickel (II) atoms, as compared with those for complex **1**, have been noted even though the reaction conditions producing the complexes were the same. The opportunity to systematically generate monodentate bridging ethanoates in dinuclear nickel (II) complexes was therefore further investigated using a range of compartmental ligands.

The complexes $[Ni_2(\mathbf{L}^n)(\text{AcO})_2(\text{NCS})]$ were prepared from proligands H**L⁹** [**8**], H**L¹⁰** [**9**], and H**L¹¹** [**10**], in which the aminic arm was aliphatic and provided a five-membered chelate ring. The iminic arm, providing a six-membered chelating ring, was terminated by a dimethylamino group (H**L⁹** , H**L¹⁰**) and histamine (H**L¹¹**). In all cases a monodentate bridging ethanoate was found to be present in the structure and the coordination geometries at the metals paralleled those in complex **7** suggesting that it is the entire compartment generating the six-membered ring that is responsible for the reaction product. The crystal structure of **10** is presented as representative of these complexes having [5,6] coordination with X-ray crystallographic data and figures for complexes **8** and **9** being deposited electronically.

The structure of $10 \cdot H$ ₂O revealed that the ethanoate bonding mode was again monodentate bridging. An ORTEP view of **10** is shown in Fig. 7 together with the numbering schemes. Selected bond lengths and angles relevant to the coordination geometries and to the monobridging ethanoates are given in the

Fig. 7 An ORTEP drawing of the molecular structure of **10**H**2**O showing the atom labeling; thermal ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths and angles at the metal atoms: $\overline{Ni(1)-O(1)}$, 1.983(2); $\overline{Ni(1)-N(3)}$, 2.018(3); Ni(1)–N(5), 2.037(3); Ni(1)–O(3), 2.085(2); Ni(1)–N(6), 2.089(3); Ni(1)–O(4), 2.214(2); Ni(2)–O(2), 1.998(2); Ni(2)–O(1), 2.010(2); $Ni(2)-O(2), 1.998(2); Ni(2)-O(1), 2.010(2);$
 $Ni(2)-O(4), 2.164(2); Ni(2)-O(5), 2.153(2);$ Ni(2)–N(1), 2.063(3); Ni(2)–O(4), 2.164(2);
Ni(2)–N(2), 2.193(3); Ni(1)–Ni(2), 3.080 2.193(3); Ni(1)–Ni(2), 3.080 Å. Ni(1)–O(1)–Ni(2), 100.92(10); Ni(1)–O(4)–Ni(2), 89.41(8); O(3)–Ni(2)–N(6), 178.19(10); O(1)–Ni(2)–N(3), 174.55(10); N(5)–Ni(2)–O(4), 170.91(9); N(5)–Ni(2)– N(3), 94.88(11); N(3)–Ni(2)–O(4), 93.86(10); O(4)–Ni(2)–O(1), 80.91(9); O(1)–Ni(2)–N(5), 90.27(10); O(5)–Ni(2)–O(2), 161.28(9); O(1)–Ni(1)–N(2), 176.03(10); N(1)–Ni(1)–O(4), 158.48(10); O(1)– Ni(1)–O(4), 81.57(9); O(4)–Ni(1)–N(2), 102.40(10); N(2)–Ni(1)–N(1), 86.87(11); N(1)–Ni(1)–O(1), 89.31(10)°.

caption to the figure. The coordination nature of the dinuclear core is very similar to that in **7**H**2**O; chelation from the pendant nitrogen atoms provides a six-membered chelate ring from the iminic arm to Ni(1) and a second five-membered chelate ring from the aminic arms to Ni(2). Each nickel(II) atom is sixcoordinate with the octahedral coordination at the nickel atoms distorted. The monobridging ethanoate bridge is nonsymmetric—Ni(2)–O(4) (aminic bridge), 2.164(3) Å and Ni(1)– $O(4)$ (iminic bridge), 2.214(2) Å—as is the phenolic bridge— Ni(2)–O(1) (aminic bridge), 2.010(2) Å and Ni(1)–O(1) (iminic bridge), 1.983(2) Å; the bond between $Ni-N_{imine}$ (2.04 Å) is slightly longer than that found in **1** whilst the Ni–N_{imidazole} distance (2.02 Å) is comparable. The Ni \cdots Ni separation is 3.080 Å, slightly shorter than that found in **7**H**2**O, 3.094 Å. The chelating angle, $O(5)$ – $C(24)$ – $O(4)$, in the monobridging ethanoate is normal, 120.0° whereas the bridging angle, O(3)– C(22)–O(2), of the *syn*–*syn* bridging ethanoate is more open, 126.5°. In the monobridging ethanoate interaction with the nickel atoms the plane of the chelating ethanoate $(O(5)-Ni(2)$ – C(24)–O(4)) is at 67.1° to the plane of the Ni(1)–O(4)–Ni(2) bridge. There is a non-coordinated water molecule present in the lattice. The overall structural features of complexes **8** and **9** are closely similar to those of **10**.

A group of proligands in which both pendant arms would provide six-membered chelate rings at both metals (Fig. 8) was

Fig. 8 Ligands used for the generation of monodentate bridging having [6,6] coordination sets, aminic (6-membered) and iminic (6-membered).

then prepared together with their dinickel (n) complexes. In H**L¹²** both pendant termini were pyridinyl groups, in H**L¹³** the aminic arm bore a pyridinyl group and the iminic arm a dimethylamino group and in H**L¹⁴** the aminic group terminated with a pyridinyl group and the iminic arm with a histidinyl group. The dinuclear complexes $[Ni_2(\mathbf{L}^n)(\text{AcO})_2(\text{NCS})]$ [11, $n =$ 12; **12**, $n = 13$; **13**, $n = 14$] were recovered in each case and all exhibited the anticipated coordination spheres; the structure of **11** is discussed as a representative example of [6,6] coordination with X-ray crystallographic data and figures for complexes **12** and **13** being deposited electronically.

In the structure of **11** the two six-coordinate nickel(II) atoms are again bridged by the phenolic oxygen atom of the deprotonated ligand, a *syn*–*syn* bidentate ethanoate and a monodentate bridging ethanoate anion. An ORTEP view of **11** is shown in Fig. 9 together with the numbering schemes. Selected bond

Fig. 9 An ORTEP drawing of the molecular structure of **11** showing the atom labeling; thermal ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths and angles at the metal atoms: Ni(1)–O(1), 2.011(3); Ni(1)–N(4), 2.015(4); Ni(1)– N(5), 2.082(4); Ni(1)–O(3), 2.094(3); Ni(1)–N(3), 2.091(4); Ni(1)–O(4), 2.184(3); Ni(2)–O(2), 2.014(3); Ni(2)–O(1), 2.035(3); Ni(2)–N(1), 2.064(4); Ni(2)–O(4), 2.146(3); Ni(2)–O(5), 2.166(3); Ni(2)–N(2), 2.218(4); Ni(1)–Ni(2), 3.099 Å. Ni(1)–O(1)–Ni(2), 99.99(13); Ni(1)– O(4)–Ni(2), 91.39(11); O(3)–Ni(1)–N(5), 175.24(13); O(1)–Ni(1)–N(3), 173.59(12); N(4)–Ni(1)–O(4), 167.22(14); N(4)–Ni(1)–N(3), 94.85(15); N(3)–Ni(1)–O(4), 94.41(12); O(4)–Ni(1)–O(1), 79.45(11); O(1)–Ni(1)– N(4), 91.50(14); O(5)–Ni(2)–O(2), 162.24(12); O(1)–Ni(2)–N(2), 172.84(12); N(1)–Ni(2)–O(4), 156.48(13); O(1)–Ni(2)–O(4), 79.48(11); O(4)–Ni(2)–N(2), 93.60(12); N(2)–Ni(2)–N(1), 93.65(14); N(1)–Ni(2)– $O(1)$, 93.51 (13) °.

lengths and angles relevant to the coordination geometries and to the monobridging ethanoates are given in the caption to the figure. The coordination nature of the dinuclear core is very similar to that in $7 \cdot H_2O$ and $10 \cdot H_2O$ but chelation from the pendant nitrogen atoms provides a six-membered chelate ring from the iminic arm to Ni(1) and a second six-membered chelate ring from the aminic arm to $Ni(2)$. Each nickel(II) atom is six-coordinate with the octahedral coordination at the nickel atoms again distorted. The monobridging ethanoate bridge is non-symmetric—Ni(2)–O(4) (aminic bridge), 2.146(3) \AA and Ni(1)–O(4) (iminic bridge), 2.184(3) Å—as is the phenolic bridge—Ni(2)–O(1) (aminic bridge), 2.035(3) Å and Ni(1)–O(1) (iminic bridge), 2.011(3) Å. The Ni–N**imine** bond (2.02 Å) is slightly longer than that found in 1 as is the Ni–N_{pyridine} bond (2.09 Å). The Ni \cdots Ni separation is 3.099 Å, comparable to those found in $7 \cdot H_2O$ *and* $10 \cdot H_2O$ The chelating angle, O(5)– C(27)–O(4), in the monobridging ethanoate is normal, 119.5° whereas the bridging angle, O(3)–C(25)–O(2), of the *syn*–*syn* bridging ethanoate is more open, 127.1° . In the monobridging ethanoate interaction with the nickel atoms the plane of the chelating ethanoate $(O(5)-Ni(2)-C(27)-O(4))$ is at 61.2° to the plane of the $Ni(1)-O(4)-Ni(2)$ bridge. The overall structural features of complexes **12** and **13**, data for which has been deposited electronically, are closely similar to those of **11**.

The flexibility given to the ligands by the saturated aminic arms appears to be an important feature in the molecular assembly. It allows formation of the distorted octahedral site at Ni(2) by accommodating the chelating monobridged μ^2 , η^1 , η^2 ethanoate—this group subtends an angle of $\approx 62^\circ$ at the Ni atom. The Ni**aminic** sites [Ni(2)] are more distorted than the Ni**iminic** sites [Ni(1)] and this is exemplified in the *trans*-axial angles in $7 \cdot H_2$ O where angles of 157.1, 165.9 and 176.8° are found at Ni(2) compared with 174.8, 173.4 and 168.3 at Ni(1). The ethanoate containing O_d and O_b binds to the opposite face of the molecule to the alkyl function of the articular N-alkyl group.

The schematic diagrams in Fig. 10 illustrate the potential

Fig. 10 Stereoisomers for the dinuclear complexes.

stereoisomers (**a**) and (**b**) that are available to complexes of this type; however it has not been possible to obtain discrete enantiomers, the structures solved have all been in centrosymmetric space groups.

The work of Okawa and co-workers **⁷** has shown that the reaction of HL^2 with $Ni(OAc)_2$ in the presence of the noncoordinating BPh_4^- anion gives the complex $[Ni_2(\mathbf{L}^2)(\mathrm{AcO})_2]$ -BPh**4** (**15**) in which one ethanoate is chelated to the Ni atom in the aminic compartment with bridging between the two Ni atoms being provided by the phenolic oxygen atom of $(L^2)^{-}$, and a $syn-syn$ bidentate ethanoate. The Ni \cdots Ni separation in this complex is ≈3.25 Å and the Ni(1)–O(1)–Ni(2) angle is 109.7°.⁷ In the current work where the BPh_4^- anion has been replaced by an NCS⁻ anion the basic bridging core of one phenolic oxygen and a bidentate ethanoate remains the same and the additional chelating ethanoate is replaced by a monodentate bridging ethanoate in complexes where there is a sixmembered chelate ring at the Ni in the iminic compartment [5,6] and 6,6], and by a monodentate NCS⁻ bridge in complexes where there is a five-membered chelate ring at the Ni in the iminic compartment [5,5]. There is an accompanying reduction in the Ni \cdots Ni separation to ≈3.10 Å [5,6 and 6,6] and ≈3.03 Å [5,5] and in the Ni(1)–O(1)–Ni(2) angle to \approx 100.5° and 98.1° respectively.

To explain our results requires a detailed knowledge of the speciation in solution. This cannot be accessed and so an hypothesis for the formation of the complexes is advanced bearing in mind Lippard's remarks on the "carboxylate shift". **1** It is proposed that complex **A**, having the dinuclear core provided by the bridging phenolate and bidentate ethanoate and with the additional ethanoate group chelated to the aminic Ni atom, is formed on addition of $Ni(OAc)$ ₂ to the requisite proligand. After addition of the co-ordinating NCS⁻ anion an equilibrium could exist in solution between different binding modes (Scheme 1).

The X-ray structures reveal that the [5,6] and [6,6]-chelation modes favour product **B** and so in solution $A \rightleftharpoons B$ dominates, with addition of the NCS⁻ occurring at the Ni_{iminic} atom. In this

mode the monodentate bridge-chelated ethanoate would have a greater stability than a simple monodentate bridged ethanoate. When the chelation mode is [5,5] then the X-ray structures show the presence of a monodentate bridging NCS⁻ anion. The equilibrium in solution now favours **C** and so addition of the anion could lead to bridge interconversion, the NCS⁻ anion being the favoured monodentate donor and the second NCS⁻ anion would replace the MeOH of solvation at the N**aminic** atom, this being the solvent which is least well bound.

Conclusions

Although it is possible that non-crystalline material recovered from the reactions, or residual mother liquors from the crystallisation process, could contain complexes of a different composition the results above indicate that in dinuclear nickel(I) complexes of asymmetric compartmental ligands it is the provision of an iminic pendant arm capable of generating a sixmembered chelate ring at the metal that leads to the systematic generation of complexes in which there is a monodentate, bridging ethanoate present. The generality of this reaction has so far been tested for ligands in which the donor atoms of the pendant arms are nitrogenous being derived from pyridine, imidazole and tertiary amino (NR₂) groups.

Acknowledgements

We thank the EPSRC for an award (to S.C.) and for funds towards the purchase of the diffractometer. Andrew Barwood, Melanie Paul and David Haigh made valuable contributions to the preparation and structural determination of $7 \cdot H_2O$.

References

- 1 R. L. Rardin, W. B. Tolman and S. J. Lippard, *New. J. Chem.*, 1991, **15**, 417–430.
- 2 R. L. Rardin, A. Bino, P. Poganiuch, W. B. Tolman, S. Liu and S. J. Lippard, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Eng.*, 1990, **29**, 812–814.
- 3 H. E. Wages, K. L. Taft and S. J. Lippard, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 4986–4987.
- 4 C. He and S. J. Lippard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 184–185.
- 5 J. H. Satcher Jr., M. W. Droege, T. J. R. Weakley and R. T. Taylor, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 3317–3328.
- 6 H. Adams, D. E. Fenton, S. R. Haque, S. L. Heath, M. Ohba, H. Okawa and S. E. Spey, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2000, 1849–1856.
- 7 T. Koga, H. Furutachi, T. Nakamura, N. Fukita, M. Ohba, K. Takahashi and H. Okawa, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 968–996.
- 8 S. R. Haque, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Sheffield, 1999.
- 9 E. Lambert, B. Chabut, S. Chardon-Noblat, A. Deronzier, G. Chottard, A. Bousseksou, J.-P. Tuchagues, J. Laugier, M. Dardet and J.-M. Latour, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 9424.
- 10 L. Casella and M. Gullotti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 6338–6347.
- 11 I. I. Mathews and H. Manohar, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1991, 2289–2294.
- 12 (*a*) Siemens SMART Data Collection Software, version 4.050, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Ltd, Madison, WI, 1996; (*b*) Siemens SAINT Data Reduction Software, version 4.050, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Ltd, Madison, WI, 1996.
- 13 Siemens SHELXTL version, an integrated system for solving and refining crystal structures from diffraction data (Revision 5.1), Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Ltd, Madison, WI, 1996.
- 14 The coordination set is defined by the number of atoms in the chelate ring at the metal hence the generation of six-membered chelate rings at both nickel atoms provides a [6,6] coordination set. The chelate ring from the aminic arm is listed before that from the iminic arm.